## **Jeronimo Martins**

## **Coalition member since 2020** | As of September 2023, palm oil, soy, paper, pulp, and fibre-based packaging (PPP), and beef are material commodities for Jerónimo Martins.

The following table includes a list of each Key Performance Indicator (KPI) for each material commodity, along with the company's 2021, 2022 and 2023 reporting record. The record includes the following responses:

- "Yes" indicates a company is reporting against a KPI and reporting quantitively where indicated
- "Yes narrative reporting" indicates a company is reporting qualitatively against a quantitative KPI
- "Not yet reporting" response indicates a company is not yet reporting on a KPI
- "N/A" indicates a KPI was not established in a given year

Companies have also provided information on their performance against appropriate KPIs, along with methodologies and targets. This information has been self-reported by companies and verified by Proforest.

Links are provided to information when a company is reporting ("Yes") against a public information requirement, and when a company is reporting qualitatively ("Yes – narrative reporting") against a quantitative KPI.

For a full methodology on the Forest Positive Coalition's reporting process, including a list of all KPIs and public information requirements, visit transparency.tcgfforestpositive.com

Palm Oil for Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Palm Derivatives (CPO derivatives & PKO derivatives)

Palm Oil KPIs (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Palm Derivatives)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
Element 1							
1.1 Policy commitments to the forest positive goals	Notes: Policy covers traceability, human rights, HCV/HCS and peat, deforestation dates, RSPO Link to reporting: <u>Strategy-</u> <u>Progress-Fighting-</u> <u>Deforestation-2021</u>	Yes 🖾 No 🗆	We have established a set of actions and targets in relation to palm oil, available in the FP CoA roadmap. Under Element 1 of the roadmap, relating to own supply chains, the action plan aims, on the one hand, to increase the traceability of palm oil to at least the country of production and, on the other, to ensure that the production of this ingredient does not violate human rights nor contribute to deforestation or the conversion of HCV ecosystems (including high carbon forests and peatlands). Since 2019, all the palm oil used in our private brand and perishable products in Portugal and Poland has had RSPO (Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil) certification, thus ensuring its sustainable sourcing. This certification also ensures the implementation of Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC), a requirement of the RSPO Principles and Criteria (P&C) designed to			Here	

Palm Oil KPIs (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Palm Derivatives)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
			ensure that RSPO certified sustainable palm oil is produced without land conflicts and to prevent associated human rights violations against indigenous peoples and local communities. Moreover, and through our suppliers, we support smallholder palm oil producers by purchasing RSPO credits. A different strategy from our operations in Europe is followed for Ara, our Colombian brand, given the particularities of the country . Although Colombia is one of the five largest palm oil producers in the world, RSPO certification levels are relatively low compared to the total produced; it is estimated that less than 20% of the total production area is RSPO certified . We also prioritise, whenever possible, sourcing locally in the countries where we operate, so as to reduce the carbon emissions associated with transportation and to promote local development (as set out in our Sustainable Sourcing Policy). These two factors explain why we follow a				

Palm Oil KPIs (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Palm Derivatives)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
			different approach in Colombia, and reflect the reality of the supply chain and the difficulty in obtaining RSPO certified palm oil. Decree 1320 of July 1998 of Colombia's legal framework is observed in relation to protecting the rights of indigenous peoples and local communities. This decree establishes the mechanisms for mandatory prior consultation with indigenous peoples and local communities where decisions are taken, adopted or implemented with regard to administrative, legislative or public or private measures or projects that could directly affect their ways and systems of life or their ethnic, cultural, spiritual, social and economic integrity.				
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	Commitment to compliance with the Voluntary Agreement for Zero Deforestation in the Colombian Palm Oil Chain by 2023. This multi- stakeholder initiative is led by the Colombian government and supported	Yes ⊠ No □	We are committed to ensuring that, by 2025, the palm oil used in our private brand and perishable products is not associated with deforestation or the conversion of HCV ecosystems, having set November 2005 as the cut-off date for ensuring compliance with the criteria for eliminating deforestation			<u>Here</u>	

Palm Oil KPIs (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Palm Derivatives)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
	by producers, industry, retailers and civil society organisations, such as RSPO, Proforest, the Tropical Forest Alliance and WWF. The Agreement sets 1 January 2011 as the cut- off date from which deforestation is no longer allowed.		and the conversion of primary forests or in areas required for the protection of HCV areas, and 15 November 2018 for HCV and high carbon forest areas. In Colombia, we are committed to ensuring compliance with the "Acuerdo de Voluntades para la Deforestación Cero en la Cadena de Palma en Colombia" (Voluntary Agreement for Zero Deforestation in the Colombian Palm Oil Chain) by 2023. This initiative, led by the Colombian government, sets 2011 as the cut-off date from which deforestation is no longer allowed. It is also supported by several industry and civil society stakeholders, including producers, retailers and organisations such as RSPO, Proforest, Tropical Forest Alliance, and WWF.				
1.4 % volume that is deforestation and conversion free - using public	N/A	Yes: quantitative ⊠ Yes: narrative □	We calculated our consolidated deforestation and conversion-free (DCF) palm oil footprint. Thus, and based on the assumption that:	100% of total tonnes of palm oil minus the ratio of the sum of (1 - tonnes of	100% of palm oil footprint.	<u>Here</u>	

Palm Oil KPIs (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Palm Derivatives)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
DCF methodology or IRF Delivering category		No 🗆	<ul> <li>for countries considered to be at risk of deforestation and conversion, physical certification schemes up to the mass balance level, excluding the purchase of credits, are deemed DCF;</li> <li>in Colombia: <ul> <li>a.10% of our footprint involves</li> <li>deforestation risks (we take a conservative approach as we have not been able to trace the origin to farm level);</li> <li>b.only 10% of our traceable footprint to farm level was produced in deforestation risk areas identified by Colombian public authorities (corresponding to 0.1% of the total deforestation risk associated with this country);</li> <li>we can assume that about <b>95%</b> of our total palm oil footprint is free from deforestation and the conversion of HCV ecosystems.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	physically certified palm oil in Portugal and Poland; 2 - Colombia - 10% of tonnes of palm oil - we cannot trace back to farm level production; 10% of our farm level traceable Footprint may be associated with deforestation risk areas (equivalent to 0.1% of the total deforestation risk associated with this country)) to total tonnes of palm oil in our Footprint.			
1.8 % physically certified (MB/SG)	97.7% for Portugal & Poland (77.7% MB, 20% segregated, <1% IP), plus 2.3% smallholder credits 3.3% (MB) of palm oil of national origin in Colombia,	Yes: quantitative ⊠ Yes: narrative □ No □	99% for Portugal and Poland (82% RSPO MB, 17% RSPO Segregated, <1% IP).	Ratio of tonnes physically certified palm oil in own brand products tonnes of total	1 – 100% of our palm oil footprint in Portugal and Poland.	<u>Here</u>	

Palm Oil KPIs (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Palm Derivatives)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
	plus <0.01% smallholder credits 20% (MB) of palm oil in Colombia with origin from other countries, plus 0.2% smallholder credits. Ratio of tonnes physically certified palm oil in own brand products tonnes of total palm oil in own brand products.		Colombia – palm oil of national origin – 2.7 RSPO MB, <0.01% RSPO Segregated, <0.01% ISCC. Colombia – palm oil with origin from other countries – 74% RSPO Mass Balance.	palm oil in own brand products. In 2022, we used 60,430 tonnes of palm oil (51% more than in 2021) in the Private Brand and perishable products of our Companies in Colombia (Ara), Poland (Biedronka and Hebe) and Portugal (Pingo Doce and Recheio)	2 – 100% of our palm oil footprint in Colombia.		
Element 2							
2.1 Direct supplier list. For retailers, this is the own brand supplier list	Did not report	Yes □ No ⊠					
2.4. List of identified major upstream suppliers/traders prioritized	Did not report	Yes ⊠ No □	Upstream of our direct suppliers, and with their help, we were able to identify more than 110 suppliers, who together account for approximately 70% of the palm oil used in our products.		100% of palm oil footprint.	<u>Here</u>	

Palm Oil KPIs (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Palm Derivatives)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
2.2 % Direct suppliers engaged and informed of 'Forest Positive Supplier' Commitment and 'Forest Positive Approach'	Suppliers that represent 80% of our palm oil footprint in tonnes.	Yes: quantitative Xes: narrative No No	Among the 110 suppliers, 10 are traders (Loders Crocklaan Bunge, Extractora La Gloria, Oleoflores, AAK, Olenex, IRCA, Cargill, IOI, and Wilmar) and account for 10% of the total palm oil footprint. This data highlights the complexity faced by retailers in supply chain traceability, as palm oil is often present in compound ingredients, thus increasing the number of indirect suppliers. Our goal is to increase supply chain traceability and mobilise our Private Brand and perishables suppliers to make commitments and implement concrete actions in their operations, in line with the FP CoA roadmap guidelines. We communicated our commitments, progress and policies for a forest positive future to all suppliers and enquired them about their policies and actions to fight deforestation. This work was enhanced with key suppliers (who account for more than 80% of our palm oil footprint), enabling us to			Here	
			identify their main sources of supply, importers, the refineries				

Palm Oil KPIs (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Palm Derivatives)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
			where palm oil is processed, and the volume of certified palm oil.				
2.3 Performance of direct suppliers against the elements of the Forest Positive Approach and changes over time including progress on delivery across entire palm oil business (updated reporting guidance)	Did not report	Yes: quantitative □ Yes: narrative ⊠ No □	By 2025, and together with our main direct suppliers, our goal is to increase supply chain traceability at trader level and to report on their performance in fighting deforestation and the conversion of natural ecosystems. We will also seek to engage our most relevant suppliers in interactions and workshops to identify their commitments to fighting deforestation and conversion, and to raise awareness for alignment with the goals we have set for our palm oil supply chain. We continue to actively participate in national multi-stakeholder initiatives and ensure that the established targets are met. In Poland, we participate in the Polish Sustainable Palm Oil Coalition (PKZOP) through Biedronka, with the goal of certifying all palm oil used (e.g. RSPO) by 2023. In			Here	

Palm Oil KPIs (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Palm Derivatives)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
			Colombia, and through Ara, we are part of the "Acuerdo de Voluntades para la Deforestación Cero en la Cadena de Palma en Colombia" initiative.				
2.5. Upstream suppliers/traders prioritised and engaged (directly or via a collective approach) and informed of Forest Positive Approach	5 traders: Number of upstream traders that have been engaged via collective approach (POTC)	Yes: quantitative ⊠ Yes: narrative □ No □	Among the ten traders, four were assessed as to their policies and progress in promoting a forest positive future under FP CoA's collaboration with the Palm Oil Transparency Coalition (POTC), covering the categories of "commitments", "engagement with suppliers", "complaint management", "support for ecosystem conservation initiatives" and "reporting". Three of these traders have a good overall performance (assessment score above 70%) and only one has a moderate performance (assessment score of between 30% and 70%).	Number of traders that have been engaged via collective approach (POTC).	100% of palm oil footprint.	Here	
2.6 Performance of upstream suppliers/traders against the elements of the Forest Positive Approach and	3 traders evaluated with good performance (>70%) 2 traders with moderate performance (30%-70%). Assessed in relation to policies and progress towards promoting a forest	Yes: quantitative ⊠ Yes: narrative □ No □	Among the ten traders, four were assessed as to their policies and progress in promoting a forest positive future under FP CoA's collaboration with the Palm Oil Transparency Coalition (POTC), covering the categories of		100% of palm oil footprint.	<u>Here</u>	

Palm Oil KPIs (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Palm Derivatives)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
changes over time including progress on delivery across entire palm oil business	positive future, as part of FP CoA's collaboration with the Palm Oil Transparency Coalition.		"commitments", "engagement with suppliers", "complaint management", "support for ecosystem conservation initiatives" and "reporting". Three of these traders have a good overall performance (assessment score above 70%) and only one has a moderate performance (assessment score of between 30% and 70%).				
Element 3							
3.8 Provide a short narrative summary of progress made towards reporting on the Element 3 in 2023 (for own brand products).	Over-arching 2020 target: to work with Private Brand and Perishables suppliers in reducing/ replacing saturated fats and use only RSPO certified palm. We engage with suppliers to ensure certification. In 2020, 85% of total palm consumption (100% in Portugal and Poland, 20% in Colombia) was RSPO certified. For non-certified volumes, we use Global Forest Watch Pro to assess effective deforestation risk, at municipality/regional level. If commodity production is the dominant	Yes ⊠ No □	System monitors compliance with our commitments for palm, as expressed by current targets (100% RSPO certification for Portuguese and Polish banners; 100% compliance with Voluntary Agreement for Zero Deforestation in the Palm Oil Chain in Colombia, for Ara banner). We engage with suppliers to ensure certification and compliance with the Colombian voluntary agreement. RSPO certification ensures no deforestation is associated with production, so this is an important feature of our control system. In 2021, 49% of total palm consumption (100% in Portugal and Poland) was RSPO certified. In	% of total volume in compliance 91- 99%. % of total suppliers in compliance 91- 99%	Direct operations and Supply chain	Here CDP F6.4	

Palm Oil KPIs (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Palm Derivatives)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
	deforestation driver for a location, we prioritize supplier engagement to ensure certification. Link: 2021 CDP_Forests_JM.pdf (jeronimomartins.com)		Colombia, we traced over 95% of palm oil produced in the country (which accounted 96% of our local banner consumption), to municipality, to ensure no effective risk. We use Global Forest Watch Pro to assess effective deforestation risk, at municipality/regional level. If commodity production is the dominant deforestation driver for a location, we prioritize supplier engagement to ensure certification. (% of total volume in compliance 91- 99%. % of total suppliers in compliance 91-99%) We monitor compliance, using the implemented control system, on an annual basis. We annually review of the ingredient list of all own banner products, quantify consumption of palm and survey all suppliers using the commodity for identification of geographical origin and third-party certification. We also use Global Forest Watch Pro tool for the identification of effective deforestation risk at local level. Whenever a supplier uses palm oil, palm kernel oil or derivatives, we				

Palm Oil KPIs (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Palm Derivatives)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
			require third-party certification				
			(RSPO). If this condition is not met,				
			the supplier is given the opportunity				
			to start using certified commodity. We reassess the situation within the				
			next 12 months and, if the supplier				
			has not implemented the required				
			change, the contract may be				
			terminated. This was the case, in				
			2021, of one supplier with which we				
			terminated our contract for the				
			supply of the palm-containing				
			product. In Colombia we engaged				
			with our private brand suppliers to				
			ensure alignment with the				
			Government-led "Voluntary				
			Agreement for Zero Deforestation in				
			the Colombian Palm Oil Chain"				
			which states that all palm oil produced outside of Colombia must				
			be RSPO certified. For nationally-				
			produced palm oil, suppliers must				
			provide information that allows for				
			the traceability of its origin to verify				
			that it is deforestation free.				

## Soy for Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Embedded Soy

Element 11.1 Policy commitments to the forest positive goalsNotes: Policy covers tracea alignment with Element 1 of the CoA Soy Road commitment eliminate (leg illegal) deforestation conversion of natural ecosy from soy production in supply chains respecting human	h :he FP map, to al and	Our strategy is in line with Element 1 of the FP CoA soy roadmap and includes two levels of action: •increase traceability at farm level, reducing the uncertainty linked to origin;		<u>Here</u>	
commitments to the forest positive goalscovers tracea alignment with Element 1 of the CoA Soy Road commitment eliminate (leg illegal) deforestation conversion of natural ecosy from soy production in supply chains respecting hu	bility, No 🗆 h the FP map, to al and	Element 1 of the FP CoA soy roadmap and includes two levels of action: •increase traceability at farm level, reducing the uncertainty linked to origin;		<u>Here</u>	
rights. 31 December 20 the cut-off da deforestation conversion ex for biomes wi sectorally agr cut-off dates, as the Soybea Moratorium i Amazon.	stems our as to man 20 as te for and cept th eed such n	<ul> <li>ensure the sustainable origin of soy whenever it originates from countries with deforestation risk, such as Argentina, Brazil, Bolivia, Paraguay and Uruguay.</li> <li>We have also set other strategic commitments, such as ensuring, by 2025, that the soy used in our private brand and perishable products is not associated with (legal or illegal) deforestation or the conversion of HCV ecosystems, while ensuring the protection of and respect for human rights. As such, we set 31 December 2020 as the cut-off date for deforestation and conversion, with the exception of biomes with sectoral cut-off dates</li> </ul>			

Soy KPI's (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Embedded Soy)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
	Link to reporting: Strategy-Progress- Fighting- Deforestation-2021		the Amazon Soy Moratorium, which establishes 22 July 2008 as the cut-off date, and others provided for in current legislation.				
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	Committed to reducing soy of unknown origin by 50% to 16% of total direct and indirect soy by 2023. We are also committed to, by 2023, reducing soy from countries at risk of deforestation to 25% and/or ensuring that it is sustainably sourced (e.g., RTRS and ProTerra certification or other multi- stakeholder schemes that promote the conservation of	Yes ⊠ No □	We have also set other strategic commitments, such as ensuring, by 2025, that the soy used in our private brand and perishable products is not associated with (legal or illegal) deforestation or the conversion of HCV ecosystems, while ensuring the protection of and respect for human rights. As such, we set 31 December 2020 as the cut-off date for deforestation and conversion, with the exception of biomes with sectoral cut-off dates already agreed, as is the case of the Amazon Soy Moratorium, which establishes 22 July 2008 as the cut-off date, and others provided for in current legislation. By 2023, we are committed to halving the quantity of soy of unknown origin to 16% of total			Here	

Soy KPI's (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Embedded Soy)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
	main soy production areas). We also made a commitment to, by 2030, ensure complete alignment with Element 1 of the Soy Roadmap defined by the FP CoA.		also committed to, by 2023, reducing soy from countries at risk of deforestation to 25% and/or ensuring that it is sustainably sourced, such as, RTRS and ProTerra certification or other multi-stakeholder initiatives that promote the conservation of ecosystems in the main soy production areas.				
1.3 Soy Footprint across all product categories	431,135 tonnes (our direct and indirect consumption totalled 485,675 tonnes)	Yes ⊠ No □	In 2022, and taking into account the five tiers described above, we used 506,263 tonnes of direct and indirect of soy in our entire assortment of private brand and perishable products, 4% more than in the previous year.	We account for all the soy present in our entire assortment of private label and perishable products (all 5 tiers).	Entire assortment of private brand and perishable products (100%).	<u>Here</u>	
1.4 Methodology for soy footprint calculation	According to CGF soy measurement guidelines	Yes ⊠ No □	Our soy footprint includes direct soy (soy drinks, soy sauces, lecithin, soybean oils, among others) and indirect soy (all types of soy incorporated into feed for the animal-based products we sell, such as eggs, milk, cheese, meat, and farmed fish) used in the private brand and perishable products we market in the three countries where we do business (Colombia, Poland and Portugal).			Here	

Soy KPI's (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Embedded Soy)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
			Our footprint is calculated each				
			year in accordance with the CGF's				
			Soy Measurement Guidelines,				
			that is, based on a five-tier				
			measurement ladder:				
			<ul> <li>Tier 1 – directly purchased soy</li> </ul>				
			and its derivatives (soy drinks, soy				
			sauces, lecithin, soybean oils,				
			edamame beans, among others),				
			the products of which have more				
			than 95% soy within them;				
			•Tier 2 – the soy used in feed for				
			animals such as cattle, pigs,				
			chickens, and farmed fish; fresh				
			meat and fish products;				
			•Tier 3 – the soy used in feed for				
			egg laying chickens and dairy				
			cows, and other dairy animals, for				
			the production of eggs and dairy				
			products (e.g. yoghurts and milkshakes) where more than 95%				
			of the product is eggs or dairy;				
			•Tier 4a – the soy used in feed for				
			animals where the meat ends up				
			in food products such as ready				
			meals, sausages, etc., where that				
			particular meat (or fish) is less				
			than 95% of the finished product;				
			•Tier 4b – the soy used in feed for				
			animals where the meat ends up				

Soy KPI's (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Embedded Soy)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target <i>(optional)</i>
1.5 % Traceable to at-risk origin (country or subnational) without further assurance of DCF status (adjusted KPI)	84.9% in total: 32,9% known origin - non-risk; 52,0% known origin - risk (Ratio of tonnes of soy of known origin with no risk to total tons of soy; Ratio of tons of soy of known origin with risk to total tons of soy; (Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay)	Yes: quantitative ⊠ Yes: narrative □ No □	in food products such as cakes, smoothies, ice cream, etc., where eggs and dairy are less than 95% of the total product; •Tier 5 – all other soy or its derivatives that may be in the supply chain, including lecithin in chocolate and soybean oil in margarine, as well as soy by- products in cosmetics and personal care products where soy is less than 95% of the total composition of the product. Known origin – with risk – 55%	Ratio between total tonnes of soy from risk countries and total tonnes of soy.	100% of soy footprint.	Here	

Soy KPI's (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Embedded Soy)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
<b>1.6 % Unknown</b> origins (adjusted KPI)	67.1% in total: 52,0% known origin - risk; 15,1% Unknown origin (Ratio of tonnes of soy of known origin with risk (Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay) to total tonnes of soy; Ratio between tons of unknown origin soy and total tons of soy)	Yes: quantitative ⊠ Yes: narrative □ No □	Unknown origin – 14%.	Ratio between total tonnes of soy from unknown origin – country level - and total tonnes of soy.	100% of soy footprint.	<u>Here</u>	
1.7 % DCF supply and break-down into: % DCF negligible risk origin % DCF certified % DCF monitored (adjusted KPI)	Narrative reporting	Yes: quantitative Xes: narrative No	We determined that 33% of our total soy footprint is free of deforestation and the conversion of HCV ecosystems (negligible risk accounts for 31% and physical certification schemes for 2%). By analysing only direct soy, 69% meet the DCF criteria described above (through negligible risk) and for indirect soy, 31% meet the DCF criteria (negligible risk accounts for 29% and physical certification schemes for 2%). This	In 2023, the FP CoA agreed on a common vision for the definition of deforestation and conversion-free (DCF) zones. Soy can be classified as DCF under one of the following options: •Negligible risk – soy is sourced from origins (countries and/or regions) where the risk of deforestation and	100% of soy footprint.	<u>Here</u>	

Soy KPI's (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Embedded Soy)	nethod as of June	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
		figure represents a reduction of around 6 p.p. compared to the previous year, due to the fact that we were unable to incorporate the same representativeness of certified soy in our footprint	conversion is not significant; •Certification – soy is certified by independent schemes or confirmed as conforming to company requirements and programmes that guarantee its DCF origin. •Monitoring – soy is confirmed as DCF through a farm-level monitoring system. Thus, and based on the assumption that: •countries currently considered by the FP CoA to be at no risk of deforestation and conversion are deemed countries with negligible risk; •for countries considered to be at risk of deforestation and conversion or unknown origin, physical certification schemes up to the mass balance level			

Soy KPI's (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Embedded Soy)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
				(excluding credits) are deemed DCF.			
1.8 Progress on	12% of the soy use	Yes:	In 2022, suppliers indicated that	1 - Ratio between total	100% of soy	<u>Here</u>	
ensuring soy is	in our products had	quantitative	11% of the soy used in our	tonnes of soy with	footprint.		
deforestation-	a sustainability	$\boxtimes$	products had Round Table on	sustainability			
and conversion-	certification;	Yes:	Responsible Soy (RTRS)	certification and total			
free for at-risk	65% of certified soy is physical	narrative 🗆	sustainability certification, ProTerra certification or other	tonnes of soy; 2 - Ratio between tonnes			
origins: a) Year on year	certification (Ratio	No 🗆	certification, representing a	of soy with physical			
change in DCF	of tonnes of		decrease of 1 p.p. compared to	sustainability			
volume %	certified soy to		2021. Physical certification	certification (excluding			
b) % of non-DCF	total soy;		schemes (e.g., RTRS Mass	credicts) and total			
volume under	Ratio between		Balance, RTRS Segregated,	tonnes of soy with			
engagement,	tonnes of soy with		ProTerra) represented more than	sustainability			
and change	physical		45% of total certified soy.	certification;			
compared to	certification		Considering only the countries at	3 - Ratio between total			
previous year	schemes and		risk of deforestation and	tonnes of soy with			
(adjusted KPI)	tonnes of certified		conversion, the percentage of	sustainability			
	soy)		certified soy stands at 14%, 3 p.p.	certification from			
			less than in 2021;	countries at risk and			
			For soy from countries at risk of	total tonnes of soy from			
			deforestation and conversion, the	countries at risk.			
			purchase of RTRS credits by our	4 - Ratio between total			
			suppliers accounted for around 10% of our footprint. We will	tonnes of soy offset by purchasing credits from			
			work together with our suppliers	countries at risk and			

Soy KPI's (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Embedded Soy)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
			in an effort to migrate a portion of these credits to physical certification schemes in order to increase our DCF footprint	total tonnes of soy from countries at risk.			
Element 2							
<b>2.1 Direct</b> <b>supplier list</b> (new for retailers)	N/A	Yes □ No ⊠				<u>Here</u>	
2.2 % of T1 suppliers to whom the Forest Positive Approach and its implementation have been communicated	Key suppliers, who represent 80% of our soy footprint, were briefed on the Group's policies, commitments and progress aligned with the FP CoA. In 2021, we increased our direct and indirect interaction with our soy suppliers in Portugal, Poland and Colombia through work sessions and	Yes: quantitative ⊠ Yes: narrative □ No □	We communicated our commitments, progress and policies on our contribution to a forest positive future to all suppliers. At the same time, we have continued not only the process of identifying the policies and actions that our key direct suppliers (over 80% of our soy footprint) have implemented to fight deforestation and observe human rights, but also those of their own suppliers, for a more detailed analysis at the various levels that make up our supply chain, inviting the different stakeholders to commit themselves to the same	100% - Ratio of the number of suppliers to whom we have communicated the Forest Positive approach to the number of suppliers representing our total soy footprint.	100% of soy footprint.	Here	

Soy KPI's (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Embedded Soy)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
	surveys, sharing our goals and expectations regarding the origin and traceability of the commodity.		objectives throughout their activity.				
2.3 Performance of T1 suppliers against Forest Positive Approach including progress on delivery across entire operations (updated reporting quidance)	Narrative reporting	Yes: quantitative □ Yes: narrative ⊠ No □	In the coming years we intend to assess our direct suppliers on their contributions to a forest positive future, based on their deforestation-free policies, level of traceability of the origin of soy, and knowledge of their supply chain (traders). We will also host workshops and meetings to encourage greater engagement in this regard with major suppliers.			<u>Here</u>	
2.4 List of identified major upstream suppliers	Did not report	Yes ⊠ No □	Cargill, Bunge, Louis Dreyfus and Cefetra are the most significant traders in our supply chain.			Here	
2.5 Summary of the Forest Positive Approach for	Engaging and informing suppliers of our goals and progress to encourage them to	Yes ⊠ No □	We communicated our commitments, progress and policies on our contribution to a forest positive future to all suppliers. At the same time, we			<u>Here</u>	

Soy KPI's (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Embedded Soy)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
suppliers and traders	make the same commitments in their operations. In 2021, we increased our direct and indirect interaction with our soy suppliers in Portugal, Poland and Colombia through work sessions and surveys, sharing our goals and expectations regarding the origin and traceability of the commodity. Key suppliers, who represent 80% of our soy footprint, were briefed on the Group's policies, commitments and progress aligned with the FP CoA.		have continued not only the process of identifying the policies and actions that our key direct suppliers (over 80% of our soy footprint) have implemented to fight deforestation and observe human rights, but also those of their own suppliers, for a more detailed analysis at the various levels that make up our supply chain, inviting the different stakeholders to commit themselves to the same objectives throughout their activity.				

Soy KPI's (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Embedded Soy)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
2.6 Upstream suppliers/traders sourcing from at- risk origins that have been engaged (directly or via collective approach) and are being evaluated	In 2021, some of the traders upstream of our direct suppliers, representing around 35% of the total volume of our soy footprint, were assessed using this collective approach (STC). Four of these traders showed a moderate performance (rating between 30% to 70% on a scale from 0% to 100%) and two a poor performance (less than 30%). Overall traders performance in the STC assessment as evaluated by the FPC	Yes: quantitative Xes: narrative No No	In 2022, some traders upstream of our direct suppliers, who account for at least 50% of the total volume of our soy footprint from countries at risk of deforestation and conversion, were assessed in the categories of 'commitments', 'supplier engagement', 'non-compliance management', 'support for ecosystem conservation initiatives' and 'reporting' via a collective approach (STC). Seven of these traders showed moderate performance (score of between 30% and 70% on a scale of 0% to 100%), corresponding to 93% of the footprint, and only one revealed poor performance (below 30%), accounting for the remaining footprint.		100% of soy footprint.	<u>Here</u>	
2.7 Performance of upstream suppliers/traders against the elements of the	Four of these traders showed a moderate performance	Yes: quantitative ⊠ Yes: narrative □	In 2022, some traders upstream of our direct suppliers, who account for at least 50% of the total volume of our soy footprint from countries at risk of		100% of soy footprint.	<u>Here</u>	

Soy KPI's (Retailers and Manufacturers Buying Embedded Soy)	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
<b>Forest Positive</b>	(rating between	No 🗆	deforestation and conversion,				
Approach	30% to 70% on a		were assessed in the categories of				
including	scale from 0% to		'commitments', 'supplier				
progress on	100%) and two a		engagement', 'non-compliance				
delivery across	poor performance		management', 'support for				
entire soy	(less than 30%).		ecosystem conservation				
business	Overall traders		initiatives' and 'reporting' via a				
	performance in the		collective approach (STC). Seven				
	STC assessment as		of these traders showed				
	evaluated by the		moderate performance (score of				
	FPC.		between 30% and 70% on a scale				
			of 0% to 100%), corresponding to				
			93% of the footprint, and only one				
			revealed poor performance				
			(below 30%), accounting for the				
			remaining footprint.				

## PPP for Retailers and Manufacturers

PPP KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
Element 1							
1.1 Policy commitments to the forest positive goals	Notes: Policy covers alignment with Element 1 of the FP CoA Paper, Pulp and Packaging Roadmap and its definition of positive forest and not contributing to deforestation, conversion, forest degradation and human rights violation. Inviting suppliers to make the	Yes ⊠ No □	With regard to the use of paper and wood in our private brand and perishable products and packaging, our goals are to ensure that our operations are aligned with Element 1 of the FP CoA Pulp, Paper and Fibre- based Packaging Roadmap and its definition of 'forest positive', and that we do not contribute to deforestation, conversion, forest degradation and human rights violations. To reduce exposure to the risk of deforestation of our private brand and perishable products and packaging, we have defined a strategy based on three main principles: • the progressive incorporation of recycled fibres; • the monitoring of the origin of virgin fibres to at least national level;			Here	

PPP KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
	same commitments. Link to reporting: <u>Strategy-</u> <u>Progress-</u> <u>Fighting-</u> <u>Deforestation-</u> 2021		•the use of FSC <sup>®</sup> or PEFC certified sustainable virgin fibres.				
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	We set 31 December 2010 as the cut-off date for conversion to have ceased and set two targets for our operations. The first is to, by 2023, obtain sustainability certification (e.g. FSC® or PEFC) for 80% of the virgin fibres used in	Yes ⊠ No □	Our commitment is to ensure that, by 2025, the paper and wood used in our private brand and perishable products and packaging are not associated with deforestation or the conversion of HCV ecosystems, having set 31 December 2010 as the cut-off date for conversion. To this end, we have steered our operations towards achieving two main goals: •to, by 2023, obtain sustainability certification (e.g. FSC® or PEFC) for 80% of the virgin fibres used in our products and for 70% of the			<u>Here</u>	

PPP KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
	our products and for 70% of the virgin fibres used in our packaging. The second is to ensure that, by 2030, all the virgin fibres used in our products and packaging have sustainability certification, such as FSC® or PEFC		<ul> <li>virgin fibres used in our packaging.</li> <li>to ensure that, by 2030, all the virgin fibres used in our products and packaging are FSC® or PEFC certified sustainable.</li> </ul>				
1.3 % recycled, % virgin fibre	81% recycled: Ratio of tonnes of recycled fibre to total tonnes of fibre used in packaging for own brand and	Yes: quantitative ⊠ Yes: narrative □ No □	Around 80% of the paper and wood used in our packaging was recycled (1 p.p. less than in 2021).	Ratio of tonnes of recycled fibre to total tonnes of fibre used in packaging for own brand and perishable products.	100% of our paper/wood packaging footprint.	<u>Here</u>	

PPP KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
	perishable products.						
1.4 Percentage of virgin supply certified, and percentage per scheme and chain of custody model	70% (2.6% CoC) "70% of fibres certified in packaging: 43.6% FSC Mix 29.4% FSC 100% 16.8% FSC Forest Management 6.5% FSC FSC Controlled wood 2.5% FSC Chain of Custody 1.1% PEFC Sustainable Forest Management 0.1% PEFC Chain of Custody	Yes: quantitative Xes: narrative No No	A total of 178,110 tonnes of paper and wood were used in private brand and perishable products and packaging, 86% of which were FSC®, PEFC or SFI certified sustainable. In 2022 we used 38,618 tonnes of virgin fibre in our packaging for private brand and perishable products, of which around 70% were FSC®, PEFC or SFI certified sustainable: FSC Controlled Wood – 4%; FSC Mix – 74% FSC Recycled – 5% FSC Forest Management Certification – 14% PEFC – x% PEFC certified 3%. A total of 139,492 tonnes of virgin paper and wood fibres were used in our private brand	%. Ratio of tonnes of certified fibre, total and per certification scheme, to total tonnes of fibre used in packaging of own brand and perishable products and in own brand products	100% of our paper/wood footprint.	<u>Here</u>	

PPP KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
	81% certified fibres in products: 70.0% FSC Mix 28.0% FSC Chain of Custody 1.1% FSC Controlled wood 0.9% FSC 100%. Ratio of tonnes of certified fibre, total and per certification scheme, to total tonnes of fibre used in packaging of own brand and perishable products and in own brand		products in 2022, of which 90% were FSC®, PEFC or SFI certified sustainable: FSC 100% – 23%; FSC Mix – 52% FSC Recycled – 1% FSC Forest Management Certification – <0.5% PEFC 100% origin - 12% PEFC – x% PEFC certified – 11% SFI - <0.5%				

PPP KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
1.5 % of virgin	N/A – new KPI	Yes:	In 2022, we were able to trace	Ratio of the tonnes of	100% of our	<u>Here</u>	
supply traceable to		quantitative	the origin back to at least	paper/wood with known	paper/wood		
origin (at least to		$\boxtimes$	country level for 91% of the	origin – country level – to	footprint.		
country of harvest)		Yes:	virgin fibres used.	the total tonnes of			
		narrative 🗆		paper/wood.			
		No 🗆					
1.6 % of supply	14% in	Yes:	Only 2% of the total virgin	1 – Ratio of the tonnes of	1 - 100% of our	<u>Here</u>	
from high priority	packaging	quantitative	paper and wood fibres present	paper/wood from high risk	paper/wood		
sources	0.4% in	$\boxtimes$	in our private brand and	of controversial sources-	footprint.		
	products (OB).	Yes:	perishable products and	country level – to the total			
	Ratio of	narrative 🗆	packaging originated from	tonnes of paper/wood.	2 - 100% of our		
	tonnes of	No 🗆	countries at a high risk of	(As the CGF-FPC has not	paper/wood		
	fibre from		controversial sources.	yet defined which term to	packaging		
	high priority			use, we have chosen to use	footprint.		
	sources to		Regarding origin/provenance in	the previous definition of	2 40000 5		
	total tonnes		our packaging for private brand	priority countries - high risk	3 - 100% of our		
	of fibre used		and perishable products, there	of controversial sources.)	paper/wood		
	in packaging of own brand		was a reduction in virgin fibres from countries at high risk of	2 - Ratio of the tonnes of	products footprint.		
	and		controversial sources,	paper/wood packaging			
	perishable		accounting for 9% of the total	from high risk of			
	products and		(5 p.p. less than in 2021).	controversial sources–			
	in own brand		(5 p.p. 1035 (1011 11 2021).	country level – to the total			
	products		Regarding origin/provenance in	tonnes of paper/wood			
	following the		our private brand and	packaging.			
	CGF PPP		perishable products, we found				
	Guidelines		that only 0.4% of the fibres	3 - 2 - Ratio of the tonnes			
			came from countries deemed at	of paper/wood products			

PPP KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
			high risk of controversial sources.	from high risk of controversial sources– country level – to the total tonnes of paper/wood products.			
1.7 Actions being taken for supply from high priority sources	Of the 14% packaging supply from high priority sources, 96% of these virgin fibres came from sustainably managed forests and were FPC or PEFC certified. Of the 0.4% of the virgin fibres incorporated from countries with a high risk of controversial	Yes ⊠ No □	Only 2% of the total virgin paper and wood fibres present in our private brand and perishable products and packaging originated from countries at a high risk of controversial sources , of which more than 70% were certified. In cases where the origin could not be traced, corresponding to 9% of the total, around 60% were FSC <sup>®</sup> , PEFC or SFI certified sustainable. Regarding origin/provenance in our packaging for private brand and perishable products origin/provenance, there was a reduction in virgin fibres from countries at high risk of controversial sources,			Here	

PPP KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
	sources, 99% had FSC® or PEFC sustainability certification.		accounting for 9% of the total (5 p.p. less than in 2021) and of which more than 70% were FSC® or PEFC certified. Regarding origin/provenance in our private brand and perishable products, we found that only 0.4% of the fibres came from countries deemed at high risk of controversial sources, and of these 75% came from sustainably managed forests, that is, are FSC®, PEFC or SFI certified. With regard to fibres of unknown origin (0.4% of the total), all fibres came from sustainably managed forests.				
Element 2 2.1 Direct Supplier list	N/A – new KPI	Yes □ No ⊠					

PPP KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
2.2 Proportion of suppliers informed about the Forest	Suppliers that represent 80% of our fibre	Yes: quantitative ⊠	We have endeavoured to communicate and engage all private brand and perishable	We have engaged with all suppliers that make up our packaging and private	100% of our paper/wood footprint.	<u>Here</u>	
Positive Suppliers approach	footprint in tonnes.	Yes: narrative □ No □	<b>product suppliers (100%)</b> in the actions and commitments to fighting deforestation publicly undertaken in the FP CoA Pulp, Paper and Fibre-based Packaging Roadmap. To this end, we invited these suppliers to adhere to and include the same commitments in their activities, and asked them to monitor their progress on policies and actions to fight deforestation.	label/perishable paper/wood footprint.			
2.3 Number or proportion of suppliers identified as priority for engagement, and % engaged	Narrative reporting	Yes: quantitative ⊠ Yes: narrative □ No □	Regarding the suppliers that account for <b>80%</b> of the total consumption of this commodity as a priority, we reinforced communication with them about our performance, commitments and policies for a forest positive future. Moreover, and to have a greater visibility of the supply chain, we traced the origin of virgin fibres, identified the	Ratio of total tonnes of paper/ wood from suppliers that we consider a priority in our paper/wood footprint.	100% of our paper/wood footprint.	Here	

PPP KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
			certification schemes used, and collected information on upstream suppliers.				
2.4 Performance of engaged suppliers and changes over time including progress on delivery across entire business	Narrative reporting	Yes: quantitative □ Yes: narrative ⊠ No □	By 2025, we are committed to increasing the traceability of our supply chain at the sub- national origin level for this commodity. To this end, we will engage with our most relevant suppliers to identify their traders, verify their commitments to fighting deforestation and conversion, and encourage alignment with the goals we have established for our paper and wood supply chain.			<u>Here</u>	

Beef and other cattle-derived products for Retailers and Manufacturers

Beef KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
Element 1							
1.1 Policy	Notes: policy	Yes 🗵	As regards the beef in our private			<u>Here</u>	
commitments	covers beef	No 🗆	brand and perishable products, we				
to the forest	from our		ensure alignment with Element 1				
positive goals	perishables		of the FP CoA Beef Roadmap.				
	and Private						
	Brand products		Our aim is to increase traceability				
	containing this		in order to reduce the uncertainty				
	ingredient and		associated with the origin of beef				
	aim to ensure		and thus ensure the sustainable				
	that it does not		origin of the raw material,				
	contribute to		particularly when it comes from				
	deforestation,		countries associated with				
	conversion of		deforestation risk, such as Brazil.				
	natural						
	ecosystems						
	and human						
	rights						
	violations. We						
	are committed						
	to ensuring						
	alignment with						
	the Element 1						
	of the FP CoA						
	Beef Roadmap.						
	Working on						
	commitment						

Beef KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
	to eliminate						
	illegal and legal						
	deforestation						
	and conversion						
	of natural						
	ecosystems from beef						
	production in						
	their supply						
	chains and to						
	adopt						
	deforestation						
	and conversion						
	cut-off dates						
	for different						
	biomes						
	Link to						
	reporting:						
	Strategy-						
	Progress-						
	Fighting-						
	Deforestation- 2021						
1.2 Timebound	Our strategy is	Yes 🗵	We are committed to ensuring			Here	
action plan	to increase	No 🗆	that, by 2025, the beef used in our				
summary	traceability in		private brand and perishable				
	order to		products is not associated with				
	reduce the		deforestation or the conversion of				
	uncertainty		HCV ecosystems. We have				

Beef KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
	associated		adopted the different cut-off dates				
	with the origin		stipulated in sectoral agreements				
	and to ensure		where these are established, such				
	the sustainable		as the cut-off dates for legal				
	origin of beef		deforestation in the Amazon for				
	from countries		beef production. The cut-off date				
	at risk of		for illegal deforestation and				
	deforestation.		conversion in all regions of Brazil				
	Regarding our		was, at the latest, 1 August 2008;				
	operations, we		for zero deforestation and				
	are committed		conversion, the cut-off date was,				
	to, by 2023,		at the latest, 1 August 2020				
	reducing		(detailed information on the cut-				
	unknown		off dates we have adopted can be				
	origins to 2.5%		found here).				
	of total beef						
	purchases. If		Our commitment is to reduce the				
	sourced from		unknown origin of total private				
	Brazil,		brand and perishable beef sourced				
	we will engage		to 2.5% by 2023. In cases where				
	suppliers to		the country of origin is Brazil, we				
	encourage		endeavour to engage our suppliers				
	them to adopt		to raise their awareness of the				
	policies and		need to adopt policies and				
	actions to fight		commitments related to fighting				
	deforestation		deforestation and the conversion				
	and ecosystem		of ecosystems that are in line with				
	conversion, in		FP CoA objectives.				
	line with						

Beef KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
	FP CoA goals.						
1.3 Beef footprint across all product categories	42,922 tonnes	Yes: quantitative ⊠ Yes: narrative □ No □	Considering our assortment of private brand and perishable products that contain beef and in the three countries where we operate (Colombia, Poland and Portugal), including offal, leather and fat, in 2022 our beef footprint represented <b>46,182 tonnes</b> , 8% more than in 2021.	Total tonnes of our beef footprint (100%).	100% of beef footprint.	<u>Here</u>	
1.4 % with known origin	100% of the total cattle products purchased with known origin (country); 0.5% of the total beef sourced came from risk level (Brazil) (over 90% traced to slaughterhouse level).	Yes: quantitative Xes: narrative No	Together with suppliers, in 2022 we were also able to trace <b>all the</b> <b>beef</b> used in our private brand and perishable products, collecting information on the country of origin. In cases of countries at deforestation risk (Brazil, according to the FP CoA), we trace the origin to slaughterhouse level.	Ratio of total tonnes of beef with known origin – country level – to total tonnes of our beef footprint. <b>Note:</b> The <u>CGF-FPC</u> <u>Beef roadmap</u> defines Brazil as a priority country	100% of beef footprint.	Here	

Beef KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
1.5 Progress on ensuring beef is free from deforestation, conversion and conflict for high-risk areas	(Ration of tonnes of beef with known origin and total tonnes of beef; Ration of tonnes of beef sourced came from Brazil and total tonnes of beef) Narrative reporting	Yes: quantitative □ Yes: narrative ⊠ No □	In cases of countries at deforestation risk (Brazil, according to the FP CoA), we trace the origin to slaughterhouse level. Only 0.5% of total beef sourced came from Brazil. We also expanded the collection of information to include other South American countries (Argentina, Paraguay and Brazil). Based on the assumption that countries at no risk of deforestation and conversion (according to the FP CoA) are deemed countries with negligible risk, we can state that 99.5% of	<b>Note:</b> The <u>CGF-FPC</u> <u>Beef roadmap</u> defines Brazil as a priority country		Here	

Beef KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
			our beef footprint is DCF, showing little change compared to 2021. In 2022, we traced, to slaughterhouse level, the origin of all our beef footprint from Brazil, approximately 100% of the footprint from Argentina and Uruguay and around 15% originating in Paraguay.				
Element 2							
2.1 Direct supplier list of identified major upstream suppliers, up to slaughterhouse when possible.	Did not report	Yes □ No ⊠					
2.2 A summary of the Forest Positive Approach for meatpackers and own brand manufacturers	We also asked whether suppliers and meatpackers had a system in place to control beef purchases, as specified in the Monitoring	Yes ⊠ No □	With regard to the suppliers and meatpackers who supply us with this commodity from Brazil, we enquired as to whether they had a system in place to control beef purchases, as specified in the Monitoring Protocol for Cattle Suppliers in the Amazon and the Voluntary Monitoring Protocol for Cattle Suppliers in the Cerrado,			<u>Here</u>	

Beef KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
	Protocol for		and whether said system covered				
	Cattle		their indirect suppliers.				
	Suppliers in						
	the Amazon		All meatpackers in our supply				
	and the		chain with operations in Brazil				
	Voluntary		were informed of the FP CoA Beef				
	Monitoring		Roadmap and the Guidance for				
	Protocol for		Forest Positive Suppliers of Cattle				
	Cattle		Derived Products.				
	Suppliers in the Cerrado.						
	Sent the "CGF						
	FPC beef						
	roadmap" and						
	"Guidance for						
	forest positive						
	suppliers of						
	cattle derived						
	products"						
2.3 T1 suppliers	Suppliers that	Yes:	As part of the efforts to fight	All the suppliers that	100% of beef	Here	
to whom the	represent 80%	quantitative	deforestation, the conversion of	make up our beef	footprint.		
<b>Forest Positive</b>	of our beef	$\square$	HCV ecosystems, and the violation	footprint (100%).			
Approach and	footprint: In	Yes:	of human rights, we				
its	the case of the	narrative 🗆	communicated our FP CoA-aligned	All the suppliers that			
implementation	suppliers and	No 🗆	policies, commitments and	make up our			
have been	meatpackers		progress to all suppliers of this	Brazilian beef			
communicated	who supply us		ingredient, raising their awareness	footprint (100%).			
	with more		and encouraging them to make				

Beef KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
	than 90% of		the same commitments in their				
	the beef from		own operations.				
	Brazil, we also						
	asked whether		We reinforced this communication				
	they had a		with all beef suppliers from at risk				
	system in place		countries (Brazil) and from other				
	to control beef		South American origins (Argentina,				
	purchases, as		Paraguay and Uruguay). We also				
	specified in the		sought to identify their own				
	Monitoring		deforestation policies and those of				
	Protocol for		their main suppliers.				
	Cattle						
	Suppliers in the Amazon		With regard to the suppliers and				
	and the		meatpackers who supply us with this commodity from Brazil, we				
	Voluntary		enquired as to whether they had a				
	Monitoring		system in place to control beef				
	Protocol for		purchases, as specified in the				
	Cattle		Monitoring Protocol for Cattle				
	Suppliers in		Suppliers in the Amazon and the				
	the Cerrado		Voluntary Monitoring Protocol for				
			Cattle Suppliers in the Cerrado,				
			and whether said system covered				
			their indirect suppliers.				
			All meatpackers in our supply				
			chain with operations in Brazil				
			were informed of the FP CoA Beef				
			Roadmap and the Guidance for				

Beef KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
			Forest Positive Suppliers of Cattle Derived Products.				
2.4 Performance of T1 suppliers against Forest Positive Approach including progress on delivery across entire operations	Narrative reporting	Yes: quantitative □ Yes: narrative ⊠ No □	Over the next two years (2024 and 2025), we will work with the suppliers that make up our Brazilian beef footprint in order to create synergies and increase information sharing between direct suppliers and meatpackers. We hope to improve the quality of reporting mainly in the Amazon and Cerrado biome areas (known as the Brazilian savannah). We will also seek to have greater detail in the information collected on our footprint from other South American countries, such as Argentina, Bolivia, Colombia, Paraguay and Uruguay			Here	

Beef KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
2.5 Meatpackers sourcing from high-risk origins that have been engaged and are being evaluated	100% of the meatpackers traced - which represent over 90% of our beef footprint coming from Brazil. Meatpackers that received the assessment surveys through the FP CoA.	Yes: quantitative ⊠ Yes: narrative □ No □	Like in 2021 and as a member of the FP CoA, we participated in the design and sending of surveys to the main meatpackers in order to assess them. The meatpackers who responded accounted for 12% of our footprint. We were able to obtain information for 86% of the traced volume in 2021 but not in 2022, and no response was received on 2% of the footprint.	(100% - 12%; 86%; 2%) Ratio of total number of meatpackers sourcing from Brazil to total number of meatpackers that source from Brazil. <i>Note: 2021 values</i> <i>have been provided</i> <i>as there was a low</i> <i>response rate in</i> <i>2022</i>	100% of the meatpackers in our beef footprint come from Brazil	<u>Here</u>	
2.6 Performance of meatpackers against Forest Positive Approach including progress on delivery across entire operations	Narrative reporting	Yes: quantitative ⊠ Yes: narrative □ No □	Like in 2021 and as a member of the FP CoA, we participated in the design and sending of surveys to the main meatpackers in order to assess them. The meatpackers who responded accounted for 12% of our footprint. We were able to obtain information for 86% of the traced volume in 2021 but not in 2022, and no response was received on 2% of the footprint. Over the next two years (2024 and 2025), we will work with the	<ul> <li>1 – Ratio of total tonnes of beef from meatpackers who responded to total tonnes of beef from meatpackers that source from Brazil</li> <li>2 - Ratio of total tonnes of beef from meatpackers who responded in 2021 but not in 2022 to total tonnes of beef</li> </ul>		<u>Here</u>	

\*Scope: What materials/products are in-scope of the reported value, and what proportion of total commodity volume does that scope represent?

Beef KPIs	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Scope*	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
			suppliers that make up our Brazilian beef footprint in order to create synergies and increase information sharing between direct suppliers and meatpackers. We hope to improve the quality of reporting mainly in the Amazon and Cerrado biome areas (known as the Brazilian savannah).	from meatpackers that source from Brazil. 3 - Ratio of total tonnes of beef from meatpackers who not responded in 2022 to total tonnes of beef from meatpackers that source from Brazil.			

Element 4 (Landscape Engagement) Key Performance Indicators | As of September 2023, Jeronimo Martins is focusing landscape engagement on soy and beef.

Soy Landscape KPI's	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
Element 4 (Land	scape Engagement)				•	
4.1 Priority	Strategy-Progress-	Yes 🗵	This initiative complies with		Here	
production	Fighting-	No 🗆	the <u>ten principles</u> agreed			
landscapes	Deforestation-2021		upon by the Coalition and			
identified			enabled the development of			
			a local governance model in			
			the municipalities of Campos			
			de Júlio, Campo Novo de			
			Parecis, Sapezal, and			
			Tangará da Serra.			
			We decided to help fund this			
			project because it covers			
			more than one FP CoA			
			strategic commodity, namely			
			beef and soy (in this case			
			because it is in an important			
			region of origin for the			
			Jerónimo Martins Group).			
4.2	Strategy-Progress-	Yes 🗵	This initiative complies with		Here	
Methodology	Fighting-	No 🗆	the <u>ten principles</u> agreed			
used to	Deforestation-2021		upon by the Coalition and			
identify			enabled the development of			
priority			a local governance model in			
production			the municipalities of Campos			
landscapes			de Júlio, Campo Novo de			
			Parecis, Sapezal, and			
			Tangará da Serra.			

Soy Landscape KPI's	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
			We decided to help fund this project because it covers more than one FP CoA strategic commodity, namely beef and soy (in this case because it is in an important region of origin for the Jerónimo Martins Group).			
4.3 Number of landscape initiatives currently engaged in	1 initiative	Yes: quantitative ⊠ Yes: narrative □ No □	1 initiative	Number of initiatives we support directly related to soy and beef production.	<u>Here</u>	
4.4 For each landscape initiative your company is currently engaged in, information on: 1) Name, location, timeline and other partners involved 2) Report on type of engagement (e.g disbursed financial	<u>Strategy-Progress-</u> <u>Fighting-</u> <u>Deforestation-2021</u>	Yes ⊠ No □	Sustainable Landscapes in Mato Grosso - Local solutions to improve the governance model and the positive impacts for the forest. In line with the CGF's FP CoA objectives, we financially supported a project in Mato Grosso (the Brazilian state with the highest agricultural production), in partnership with the Amazon Environmental Research Institute (IPAM) and Nestlé. This initiative complies with the ten principles agreed		<u>Here</u>	

Soy Landscape KPI's	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
support, in-kind			upon by the Coalition and			
support,			enabled the development of			
capacity,			a local governance model in			
preferential			the municipalities of Campos			
sourcing)			de Júlio, Campo Novo de			
3) Specific			Parecis, Sapezal, and			
actions or			Tangará da Serra. Forest			
projects that			assets on soy and beef farms			
are supported			were identified under this			
4) How the			project, including sites that			
actions intend			can be legally converted into			
to address			agricultural areas.			
systemic issues						
and contribute			We decided to help fund this			
to delivering			project because it covers			
forest positive			more than one FP CoA			
goals (at least			strategic commodity, namely			
one of			beef and soy (in this case			
conservation,			because it is in an important			
restoration,			region of origin for the			
positive			Jerónimo Martins Group).			
inclusion of			The first phase, already			
farmers and			concluded, identified around			
communities,			3.4 billion hectares that can			
landscape-level			be legally converted, more			
multi-			than 250,000 of which are in			
stakeholder			the four municipalities			
platforms or			covered by the initiative.			
partnerships)			The project is driven by the			
5) Linkages to			PCI Strategy: Produce,			
shared			Conserve and Include			

Soy Landscape KPI's	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
landscape-level			implemented by the Mato			
goals			Grosso state government. It			
developed			consists of an action plan to			
through multi-			reduce deforestation, meet			
stakeholder			sustainability commitments			
processes			in the supply chain, and			
			promote the economic and			
			social development of			
			smallholder farmers and			
			indigenous communities.			
			The second phase of the			
			project, currently underway			
			and lasting 12 months, in			
			which Sainsbury's joins			
			Nestlé and Jerónimo Martins			
			Group, aims to:			
			<ul> <li>promote payment for</li> </ul>			
			ecosystem services to 50			
			smallholders;			
			<ul> <li>ensure Round Table on</li> </ul>			
			Responsible Soy certification			
			of soy production systems in			
			an area spanning 15,000			
			hectares;			
			<ul> <li>help protect 15,000</li> </ul>			
			hectares of natural systems			
			and ensure compliance with			
			the Brazilian Forest Code in			
			30,000 hectares of soy			
			production area;			

Soy Landscape KPI's	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Publicly reporting as of June 30th 2023	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
			•test the implementation of regenerative production practices in an area spanning			
			25 hectares.			

Beef Publicly repo Landscape value and me KPI's provided in 2	thod publicly reporting on		Methodology for value reported	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
Element 4 (Landscape Engageme	ent)				
4.1 Priority production landscapes identified	Yes ⊠ No □	This initiative complies with the ten principles agreed upon by the Coalition and enabled the development of a local governance model in the municipalities of Campos de Júlio, Campo Novo de Parecis, Sapezal, and Tangará da Serra. We decided to help fund this project because it covers more than one FP CoA strategic commodity, namely beef and soy (in this case because it is in an important region of origin for the Jerónimo Martins Group).		Here	

Beef Landscape KPI's	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Is your company publicly reporting on this KPI as of June 30th 2023?	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology for value reported	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
4.2 Methodology used to identify priority production landscapes		Yes ⊠ No □	This initiative complies with the <u>ten principles</u> agreed upon by the Coalition and enabled the development of a local governance model in the municipalities of Campos de Júlio, Campo Novo de Parecis, Sapezal, and Tangará da Serra. We decided to help fund this project because it covers more than one FP CoA strategic commodity, namely beef and soy (in this case because it is in an important region of origin for the Jerónimo Martins Group).		<u>Here</u>	
4.3 Number of landscape initiatives currently engaged in		Yes: quantitative ⊠ Yes: narrative □ No □	1 initiative	Number of initiatives we support directly related to soy and beef production.	<u>Here</u>	
4.4 For each landscape initiative your company is currently engaged in, information on:		Yes ⊠ No □	Sustainable Landscapes in Mato Grosso - Local solutions to improve the governance model and the positive impacts for the forest.		<u>Here</u>	

Beef Landscape KPI's	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Is your company publicly reporting on this KPI as of June 30th 2023?	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology for value reported	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
1) Name,			In line with the CGF's FP CoA			
location,			objectives, we financially			
timeline and			supported a project in Mato			
other partners			Grosso (the Brazilian state			
involved			with the highest agricultural			
2) Report on			production), in partnership			
type of			with <b>the Amazon</b>			
engagement			Environmental Research			
(e.g disbursed			Institute (IPAM) and Nestlé.			
financial			This initiative complies with			
support, in-kind			the ten principles agreed			
support,			upon by the Coalition and			
capacity,			enabled the development of			
preferential			a local governance model in			
sourcing)			the municipalities of Campos			
3) Specific			de Júlio, Campo Novo de			
actions or			Parecis, Sapezal, and			
projects that			Tangará da Serra. Forest			
are supported			assets on soy and beef farms			
4) How the			were identified under this			
actions intend			project, including sites that			
to address			can be legally converted into			
systemic issues			agricultural areas.			
and contribute			-			
to delivering			We decided to help fund this			
forest positive			project because it covers			
goals (at least			more than one FP CoA			
one of			strategic commodity, namely			
conservation,			beef and soy (in this case			
restoration,			because it is in an important			
positive			region of origin for the			

Beef Landscape KPI's	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Is your company publicly reporting on this KPI as of June 30th 2023?	<u>Publicly reported</u> value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology for value reported	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
inclusion of			Jerónimo Martins Group).			
farmers and			The first phase, already			
communities,			concluded, identified around			
landscape-level			3.4 billion hectares that can			
multi-			be legally converted, more			
stakeholder			than 250,000 of which are in			
platforms or			the four municipalities			
partnerships)			covered by the initiative.			
5) Linkages to			The project is driven by the			
shared			PCI Strategy: Produce,			
landscape-level			Conserve and Include			
goals			implemented by the Mato			
developed			Grosso state government. It			
through multi-			consists of an action plan to			
stakeholder			reduce deforestation, meet			
processes			sustainability commitments			
			in the supply chain, and			
			promote the economic and			
			social development of			
			smallholder farmers and			
			indigenous communities.			
			The second phase of the			
			project, currently underway			
			and lasting 12 months, in			
			which Sainsbury's joins			
			Nestlé and Jerónimo Martins			
			Group, aims to:			
			<ul> <li>promote payment for</li> </ul>			
			ecosystem services to 50			
			smallholders;			

Beef Landscape KPI's	Publicly reported value and method provided in 2022	Is your company publicly reporting on this KPI as of June 30th 2023?	Publicly reported value or narrative for 2023 on 2022 data	Methodology for value reported	Link to publicly available source	Target (optional)
			•ensure Round Table on			
			Responsible Soy certification			
			of soy production systems in			
			an area spanning 15,000			
			hectares;			
			•help protect 15,000			
			hectares of natural systems			
			and ensure compliance with			
			the Brazilian Forest Code in			
			30,000 hectares of soy			
			production area;			
			•test the implementation of			
			regenerative production			
			practices in an area spanning			
			25 hectares.			